STEREOCHEMICAL CONTROL OF KETONE REDUCTIONS IN LIQUID AMMONIA

W. S. Murphy^{*} and D. F. Sullivan,

Department of Chemistry, University College, Cork, Ireland. (Received in UK 9 August 1971; accepted for publication 3 September 1971)

Ourisson and Rassat¹ noted stereochemical control during the reduction of ketones with active metals in liquid ammonia. Their results aroused considerable interest² since the method had hitherto been generally considered³ to lead to a preponderance of the more stable epimer. Nevertheless, the mechanism of the reaction has not yet been resolved. Huffmann and Charles⁴ suggested a dual mechanism: (a) in the case of unhindered ketones the anion radical is protonated in accordance with the rules outlined by Richer⁵ and (b) hindered ketones form dianions which are protonated by the stereochemical pathway suggested by Barton and Robinson.³ Taylor⁶ considered that a single mechanism wherein the equilibrium position of equilibrating dianions coupled with the differing rates of protonation of these species accounted for the results satisfactorily. Coulombeau and Rassat⁷ however conclude that the addition of the first or second electron is the critical step leading to anions which do not equilibrate. Their⁸ recent results prompted us to report this facet of our investigations.

Our results in Table 1 confirm those of Rassat^{1,7} and leave still unexplained⁹ the results of Huffmann and Charles.⁴

Red	uction of d-C	amphor in the abser	nce of an added Pr	oton Donor ¹⁰	
	Metal	% Yield of borneol + isoborneol	Isoborneol % a)	<pre>% Yield of Pinacol b)</pre>	
1	Li	25	20	70	
2	Na	75	40	20	
3	к	95	58	0	
4	Cs	95	78	0	
5	Ca	95	27	0	
6	Sr	99	30	0	
7	Ba	95	29	0	

TABLE 1

3707

a)	These figures represent the ratio of isoborneol to borneol.
b)	M.p. 114-115 ⁰ , ¹¹ M ⁺ 306 (Found C, 78.4; H, 11.0. C ₂₀ H ₃₄ O ₂ requires
	C, 78.38; H, 11.18%). Oxidation with Pb (OAc), 12 gave 100% yield
	(v.p.c.) of camphor.

Table II outlines our results when reduction is undertaken in the presence of a metal cation and in the absence of an added proton donor.

(metal): (salt) % b Pinacol 1 Li LiBr 1 : 5 20 (20) ^C 75 (70) ^C 2 K LiBr 1 : 5 24 (26) 60 (62) 3 Li KBr 1 : 5 47 (51) 30 (17) 4 K LiBr 1 : 1 34 (39) 40 (40) 5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
1 Li LiBr 1 : 5 20 (20) ^C 75 (70) ^C 2 K LiBr 1 : 5 24 (26) 60 (62) 3 Li KBr 1 : 5 47 (51) 30 (17) 4 K LiBr 1 : 1 34 (39) 40 (40) 5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
2 K LiBr 1 : 5 24 (26) 60 (62) 3 Li KBr 1 : 5 47 (51) 30 (17) 4 K LiBr 1 : 1 34 (39) 40 (40) 5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
3 Li KBr 1 : 5 47 (51) 30 (17) 4 K LiBr 1 : 1 34 (39) 40 (40) 5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
4 K LiBr 1 : 1 34 (39) 40 (40) 5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
5 Li KBr 1 : 1 33 (39) 40 (40) 6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)
6 K CsBr 1 : 1.5 ^d 68 (70) 0 (0)

```
TABLE II
```

c) Figures in brackets are those predicted by averaging the effects of the metal cations.

 Ammonia saturated with CsBr. Ratio based on known¹³ solubility of CsBr.

Comparison of the first entry in each Table indicates that a salt effect is inoperative. The results in Table II are almost exactly those predicted for the statistical ratio of metal cations. The results of Table II emphasise that contrary to earlier suggestions⁸ the carbonyl does not associate preferentially with the dissolving metal. Table II provides strong evidence for complete ionisation of metals before association with the carbonyl according to Scheme 1. Steps (1) and (2) follow from the results of Table II.

.

(1)
$$M + M_1 Br \rightarrow M^+ + M_1^+ + e^- + Br^-$$

(2) $C = 0 + e^- \neq \dot{C} - \ddot{0}$
(3) $\dot{C} - \ddot{0} + M^+ + M_1^+ \rightarrow C - \ddot{0} M^+ + \dot{C} - \ddot{0} M_1^+$

Scheme 1

Step (3) is considered irreversible since the results depend on the ratio of M^+ to M_1^+ not on the tendency of the metal cations to form intimate or solvent separated ion pairs.¹⁴ To explain the effect of increasing atomic weight of the alkali metal on the exo/endo ratio Huffmann⁴ invoked the reducing power of the metals. This suggestion complied with his concept of a dual mechanism. We consider that Scheme 1 invalidates this suggestion since the metal is completely ionised before it attacks the carbonyl group. Also the relative percentages of pinacol formed (Table 1) are in the reverse order to that expected on the basis of the reducing power of the metals. Association of the metal with the carbonyl is a two-step process (step 1 and 2 in Scheme 1). Therefore, addition of the first or second electron per se cannot be the crucial step as suggested' since the exo/endo ratio would then be independent of the nature of the metal cation. These results may also have some synthetic value since the use of a hazardous metal such as Cs for stereospecific reductions may be avoided by using an excess of a Cs salt in association with another metal. Full details of our results are in preparation.

We wish to thank Professor T. B. H. McMurry, Trinity College, Dublin for the mass spectrum.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Ourisson and A. Rassat, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, <u>21</u>, 16 (1960).
- 2. (a) D. H. R. Barton and G. A. Morrison "Fortschritte der Chemie Organische Naturstuffe", Vol. XIX, Springer Verlag, Vienna, 223 (1961); (b) J. W. Huffmann, D. M. Alabran, T. W. Bethea, J. Org. <u>Chem., 27</u>, 3381 (1962); (c) A. Coulombeau and R. Rassat, <u>Bull. Soc.</u> <u>Chim.</u>, 3338 (1965); (d) O. H. Wheeler in "Chemistry of the Carbonyl Group", S. Patai ed., p.520, Interscience, London (1966).
- 3. D. H. R. Barton and C. H. Robinson, <u>J. Chem. Soc</u>., 3045 (1954).

- 4. J. W. Huffmann and J. T. Charles, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>90</u>, 6486 (1968).
- 5. J. C. Richer, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>30</u>, 324 (1965).
- 6. D. A. H. Taylor, Chem. Comm., 476 (1969).
- 7. A. Coulombeau and A. Rassat, Chem. Comm., 1587 (1968).
- (a) A. Coulombeau and A. Rassat, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim.</u>, <u>12</u>, 4399 (1970);
 (b) A. Coulombeau and A. Rassat, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim.</u>, <u>12</u>, 4404 (1970).
- 9. (a) We found that water markedly affected the stereochemical course of the reaction. The relative dryness of the ammonia could account for the discrepancies already noted;⁴ (b) L. W. Harrison and T. J. Swift, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>92</u>, 1963 (1970).
- 10. Composition determined by v.p.c. on a 17' column, $\frac{1}{b}$ " O.D. packed with 10% carbowax 20M on Chromosorb W, using menthol as internal standard. Percentage of pinacol was determined by difference. This method was confirmed by isolation using column chromatography in certain cases.
- 11. R. Criegee, E. Bachmer and W. Walther, Chem. Ber., 73, 571 (1940).
- 12. J. Fried and N. A. Abraham, Tetrahedron Letters, 28, 1879 (1964).
- H. H. Sisler, Chemistry in Non-Aqueous Solvents, p. 32, Chapman and Hall, London (1965).
- 14. (a) T. E. Hogen-Esch and J. Smid, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>88</u>, 307 (1966);
 (b) N. Hirota, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>89</u>, 32 (1967); (c) L. L. Chan and
 J. Smid, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>90</u>, 4654 (1968); (d) S. Bank and
 B. Bockrath, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>93</u>, 430 (1971).